

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS JULIO DIAZ				DEFENDANTS CITY OF PHILADELPHIA					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Known)					
Pierre LaTour, Esquire. 19102 (215) 732-0460	1515 Market Street, S	te. 1210, Philadelpl	hia, PA						
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in (One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box f	or Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ### 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF	Incorporated or Pri	and One Box for		
U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		① 5	D 5
			•	en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		J 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						here for: Nature o			
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property		PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 71 Truth in Lending 885 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General	X	DEFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	BAN	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights at t- Abbreviated Drug Application mark SECURITY (1395ff) t Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI 405(g)) SL TAX SUITS 6 (U.S. Plaintiff efendant)	375 False Cla 376 Qui Tam 3729(a) 376 Qui Tam 3729(a) 410 Antitrust 430 Banks ar 450 Commer 460 Deportat 470 Racketec Corrupt (480 Consume 490 Cable/Sa 850 Securities Exchang 890 Other Sta 891 Agriculta 893 Environn 895 Freedom 895 Freedom 896 Arbitratia 899 Adminis	aims Act a (31 USC b) apportionn apportionn and Banking ce ion er Influenc Organizati er Credit at TV ss/Common ge atutory Ac atutor	ment g ced and cions ditties/ ctions tters nation
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE	C(S) Cite the U.S. Civil State Section 1983 Brief description of car Section 1983 CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	Appellate Court atute under which you as ause: JS A CLASS ACTION		- I Janisic	er District lutes unless div	6 Multidistr. Litigation Transfer versity): HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	- 1	Multidisi Litigatio Direct Fil complair	on - le
DATE DATE	(See instructions):	JUDGE SIGNATURE OF AT	ΓORNEY O	F RECORD	DOCKE	T NUMBER			
1/8/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		1/1/1//						·····	
DECEMBE #									

RECEIPT #

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

Case 2:18-cv-00104-MAK Document 1 Filed 01/10/18 Page 2 of 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 8301 State Road, Philadelpl	hia, PA 19136			
Address of Defendant: 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor	or, Philadelphia, PA 19102			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:				
	le For Additional Space)			
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corpor	8 A			
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P.	$(7.1(a))$ Yes \square No.			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within	n one year previously terminated action in this court?			
	Yes No.			
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a action in this court?	a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated			
und court.	Yes□ No M			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any e	earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously			
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No N			
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civ	ril wiebte ages filed by the serve in their to			
and the second of successive naucas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civ				
	Yes□ No. ■			
CIVIL: (Place 🗸 in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:			
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts			
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury			
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation			
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury			
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)			
7. D Civil Rights	7. Products Liability			
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos			
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases			
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)				
ARBITRATION C				
(Check Appropri	y certify:			
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledges 150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:	ge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of			
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
1: 16/				
DATE: 1/8/2018	82429			
Attorncy-at-L'aw NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only	Attorney I.D.# v if there has been compliance with F.R. C.P. 38			
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pendi	ing or within one year previously terminated action in this court			
recept as notice above.				
DATE: 1/8/2018 / //	82429			
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#			

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Numb	er	E-Mail Address					
(215) 732-0460	(215) 563	-7587	pi <u>erre@phillybestde</u>	fense.com				
1/8/2018 Date	Pierre Attorney-at-		Julio Diaz Attorney for					
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not	fall into any on	e of the other tracks.	(x)				
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse si management cases.)	complex and that de of this form fo	need special or r a detailed exp	intense management by lanation of special	()				
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.				()				
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()								
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.								
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.								
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CAS	SE MANAGEN	MENT TRACKS:					
V. CITY OF PHILADELPHI CORIZON HEALTH, INC I/A PRISON HEALTH S In accordance with the Civil plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ed designation, that defendant s	EERVICES, IN Justice Expense to Management To a copy on all deferent that a defendable, with its first ties, a Case Mana	rack Designation to the standards. (See § dant does not a appearance, sultingement Track I	NO. NO. Suction Plan of this court, count on Form in all civil cases at the tallow of the plan set forth on the rangree with the plaintiff regarding omit to the clerk of court and second point to the clerk of court and cour	ime of reverse ig said				
JOLIO DIAZ		•	CIVII ACTION					

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JULIO DIAZ :

8301 State Road

Philadelphia, PA 19136

Plaintiff

v. : DOCKET NO.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

BRUCE HERDMAN, C.M.O.
PHILADELPHIA PRISON SYSTEMS
C/O CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
1515 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19102
and,

JOHN DOES 1-2, CORRECTIONS EMPLOYEES C/O CITY LAW DEPARTMENT 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and,

CORIZON HEALTH, INC., T/A PRISON HEALTH SERVICES, INC. 7901 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136 and,

JOHN DOES 3-4, MEDICAL PERSONNEL 7901 State Road Philadelphia, PA 19136 Defendants

COMPLAINT

- 1. This is a civil action seeking damages against Defendants for committing acts, under color of law, which deprived Plaintiff of rights secured by the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution and the laws of the United States and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; for conspiring for the purpose of impeding and hindering the due course of justice, with intent to harm Plaintiff; and for refusing or neglecting to prevent such deprivations and denials to Plaintiff.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction of this action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 1988 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343 and 1367.
- 3. Plaintiff, Julio Diaz, is a citizen and resident of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and the United States of America.
- Defendant, City of Philadelphia, is a municipality and agent of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Defendant City of Philadelphia manages and oversees the Philadelphia Prison System.
- 5. Defendant, Bruce Herdman, is the Chief of Medical Operations of the Philadelphia Prison System.
- 6. Defendants, John Does 1-2, are employed by the Philadelphia Prison System as correction officers and/or social workers.
- 7. Defendant, Corizon Health, Inc., t/a Prison Health Services, Inc., is a corporation contracted by the City of Philadelphia to provide medical services to inmates housed in the Philadelphia Prison System.

- 8. Defendants, John Does 3-4, are employed by Defendant, Prison Health Services, Inc., as nurses, doctors and/or medical providers.
- 9. Plaintiff sues each and all Defendants in their individual and official capacities.
- 10. At all times material to this Complaint, Defendants, Herdman and John Does, acted under color of law and under the color of the statutes, customs, ordinances and usage of the State of Pennsylvania, City of Philadelphia, Philadelphia Police Department and the Philadelphia Prison System.
- 11. At all times relevant, Defendants acted jointly and in concert with each other. Each individual Defendant had the duty and opportunity to protect the Plaintiff from unlawful actions of the other Defendants but each Defendant failed and refused to perform such duty, thereby proximately causing Plaintiff's injury.
- 12. At all times relevant, Defendant Herdman, corrections officers and John Does, were employed in their duties as corrections employees for the City of Philadelphia.
- 13. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant City of Philadelphia was acting through its agents, servants and employees, who were acting within the scope of their authority, course of employment and under the direct control of the Defendant City.
- 14. In January of 2016, Plaintiff was housed in the Philadelphia Prison System at PICC.
- 15. On or about January 12, 2016, Plaintiff complained of pain, swelling and inflammation in his right leg to Defendant John Doe #1 and requested that he be taken to the infirmary.
- 16. Plaintiff was seen in the infirmary on January 12, 2016 and examined by Defendant John Doe #3, a nurse at the infirmary.
- 17. John Doe #3 diagnosed Plaintiff with an abscess in his right leg, proscribed him Motrin for the pain, and returned him to his cell.

- 18. Between January 12, 2016 and January 17, 2016, Plaintiff continued to experience severe pain and swelling in his right leg.
- 19. Between January 12, 2016 and January 17, 2016, Plaintiff reported symptoms of pain in his lower right leg to corrections officers and medical personnel employed by Defendants, City of Philadelphia and Corizon.
- 20. Despite multiple complaints, which later included symptoms of severe pain, redness, and swelling, Defendants City, Corizon, and John Does failed to take any action to treat Plaintiff's symptoms.
- 21. On or about January 17, 2016, Plaintiff's pain became so severe that he was unable to walk. At this time Defendants finally sent him to the infirmary.
- 22. While at the infirmary on January 17, 2016, Plaintiff was not examined by a physician. Plaintiff was informed a physician would examine him the following day, January 18, 2016.
- 23. Plaintiff was not examined by a physician until January 19, 2016.
- 24. On January 19, 2016, Plaintiff was referred to the emergency department of Aria Torresdale Hospital with complaints of severe pain in his right leg.
- 25. At Aria Torresdale Hospital, Plaintiff was diagnosed with right lower extremity abscesses, cellulitis, swelling and erythema
- 26. The doctors at Aria Frankford performed an emergency incision and drainage of Plaintiff's right lower leg.
- 27. Plaintiff was treated with antibiotic medicines and pain medication.
- 28. On January 22, 2016, Plaintiff was discharged and instructed to take medications

- 29. Since January 2016, Plaintiff has had to participate in ongoing physical therapy for his leg as a result of the maltreatment.
- 30. Since January 2016, Plaintiff has had to take different medications to treat the symptoms resulting and stemming from his cellulitis and abscesses.
- 31. Plaintiff continues to suffer post surgery symptoms that include, but are not limited to, pain in his right leg, difficulty walking and permanent scarring.
- 32. Defendant's collective failure to provide adequate medical care to Plaintiff when he first complained of leg pain in January 2016, caused Plaintiff's symptoms to worsen, become irreversible and required Plaintiff to undergo painful medical procedures.
- 33. Had Defendants timely treated Plaintiff's symptoms and had him seen by a qualified physician, he would not have had to undergo any medical procedures on his right leg.

8th AMENDMENT DENIAL OF MEDICAL CARE AGAINST DEFENDANT JOHN DOE NURSES

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 35. The failure of Defendant John Doe Nurses to provide medical care to Plaintiff constitutes deliberate indifference to the Plaintiff's serious medical needs in violation of the Eighth Amendment's prohibition against cruel and unusual punishments.

8TH AMENDMENT DENIAL OF MEDICAL CARE AGAINST DEFENDANT JONH DOE DOCTORS

36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 35 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.

5

37. The failure of Defendant, John Doe Doctors, to provide medical care to Plaintiff constitutes deliberate indifference to the Plaintiff's serious medical needs in violation of the Eighth Amendment's prohibition of cruel and unusual punishments.

8TH AMENDMENT DENIAL OF MEDICAL CARE AGAINST DEFENDANT CORIZON HEALTH, INC., T/A PRISON HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 39. The failure of Defendant, Corizon Health, Inc., T/A Prison Health Services, Inc., to provide medical care to Plaintiff constitutes deliberate indifference to the Plaintiff's serious medical needs in violation of the Eighth Amendment's prohibition of cruel and unusual punishments.

8TH AMENDMENT DENIAL OF MEDICAL CARE AGAINST DEFENDANT CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 39 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 41. The failure of Defendant, City of Philadelphia, to provide medical care to Plaintiff constitutes deliberate indifference to the Plaintiff's serious medical needs in violation of the Eighth Amendment's prohibition of cruel and unusual punishments.

COUNT V MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANTS JOHN DOE NURSES

42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.

43. Defendants, John Doe Nurses, through their actions as described above, were negligent in treating Plaintiff's medical needs.

COUNT VI MEDICAL NEGLIGENCE CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANTS JOHN DOE DOCTORS

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 43 of this Complaint as though same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 45. Defendants, John Doe Doctors, through their actions as described above, were negligent in treating Plaintiff's medical needs.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands trial by a jury of 12.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendants and each of them, jointly and severally, as follows:

- a. Compensatory damages in an amount this Court shall consider to be just,
 reasonable and fair;
- b. Damages for pain and suffering;
- c. Punitive damages in an amount the Court shall consider to be just, reasonable and fair;
- d. Attorney fees and the costs of this action; and
- e. Such other relief as this Court shall consider to be fair and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

PIERRE LATOUR, ESQUIRE Attorney for Plaintiff 1515 Market Street, Suite 1210 Philadelphia, PA 19102 (215) 732-0460